

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION**

**NOTICE OF MOTION NO. 107 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO. 1307 OF 2004**

Mr. Nikhil Jhaveri ... Petitioner

Versus

Municipal Corporation of Greater
Mumbai and Ors. ... Respondents

And

Mahesh Govale & Ors. ... Applicants

Mr. Kamal Kheta i/by Kishore Thakordas for
Petitioner.

Mr. V.S. Paradkar for R. No. 7.

Mr. S.G. Surana for R. NO. 5.

Mr. V.T. Walawalkar i/by A. Iyengar for
Applicant.

**CORAM : F.I. REBELLO &
 ANOOP V. MOHTA, JJ.
DATED : MARCH 23, 2006**

P.C.

. This is an application by the applicant to be added as party. In our opinion, considering the controversy, it is not required that he be added as respondent. The motion can be disposed of by issuing following directions :

. The applicants and similarly situated others whose structures are in the list prepared by the Collector to apply to the Collector for verification of their claims. If the Collector accepts their claim then these applicants and such other will be entitled to alternative accommodation. If the applications have not been taken out by the applicant, the same should be taken out within two weeks and the Collector to dispose of the same within six weeks thereafter. It is further made clear that those who are held eligible and to whom alternative accommodation is made available are bound to shift to the alternative accommodation which is made available. In respect of those who have shifted, the Collector to take steps to demolish the structure forthwith.

(F.I. REBELLO, J.)

(ANOO P V. MOHTA, J.)